Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016

Finance and Audit Committee Performance Metrics

Construction Package 1 Contract No. HSR 13-06

Authority Safety Incident Rate Contractor Safety Incident Rate Safety **Design & Construction Support Cost** · Contingency Cost Schedule Performance Index (SPI) Schedule Percent of Non-Conformance Reports (NCRs) Resolved Quality Disadvantaged/Small/Disabled Veteran/Micro **Business Enterprises** All National Targeted Workers **Economic** · Disadvantaged Workers **Benefits**

PERFORMANCE METRICS

The following performance metrics for Construction Package 1, a design-build project, are intended to give the Authority's Board of Directors and other key stakeholders a high level overview of the performance of this project.

Safety is a top priority and listed first, followed by key metrics for cost, schedule, and quality, as all are fundamental metrics for the management of the project. In addition and in support of the business aspects of the project, three key metrics are included for economic benefits. The Authority's management team, both on the project site and at the headquarters in Sacramento, will also review other aspects of the project's performance. The Authority will track and monitor the trends of these performance metrics to proactively manage the project.






Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1

Performance Metrics



Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 1 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1



- Design & Construction Support Costs (PCM Invoiced to date) = \$18,250,322; DB Invoiced to date = \$239,744,836.
- 2. Currently at 7.6%, performance target is < 6%.

Reason – Due to the delay in starting substantial construction activities, the DB invoiced amount to date is lagging behind what was planned, while the design and construction support cost remains generally consistent.


Mitigation/Improvements – Delays in construction activity are being driven by several factors. This metric will improve as the Contractor continues to increase construction and the value of their monthly invoices increases. Continued advancement of the deliverables necessary to commence substantial construction will increase the value of the Contractor's work and subsequently this metric will improve.

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 2 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1




Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 3 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1



- 1. Earned Value = \$239,744,836; Planned Value = \$710,174,959.
- 2. Currently at 0.34, performance target is >1.0.

Reason – Due to the delay in starting substantial construction activities, the Contractor's earned value is lagging behind the planned value.

Mitigation/Improvements – Delays in construction activity are being driven by several factors. This metric will improve as the Contractor continues to increase construction and the value of their monthly invoices increases. Continued advancement of the deliverables necessary to commence substantial construction will increase the value of the Contractor's work and subsequently this metric will improve.

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 4 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1




Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 5 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1




Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 6 of 10



Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1



¹Estimated value

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 7 of 10



Finance and Audit Committee Performance Metrics Explanatory Details

Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1

Performance Metrics – Explanatory Details

Category	Description
General	Data Period
Description	The Performance Metrics represent the period of 10/15/2013 (Notice to Proceed) to 4/30/2016.
Safety	Authority Safety Incident Rate: [Number of injuries and illnesses] ÷ [Employee hours worked] * [200,000]
Description	 The goal is to contain the incidence rate at ≤ 3.2. Benchmark: The average incidence rate per the 2012 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor for heavy and civil engineering construction is 3.2. Authority (CP01 Authority and Consultant on-site staff) has zero incidents of recordable injury or illness to date. The Consultant staff has 112,219 hours worked to date The incidence rate represents the number of nonfatal occupational injuries and illnesses per 100 full-time workers and is calculated as: (N/EH) x 200,000, where N = number of injuries and illnesses EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year 200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time workers (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)
Safety	Contractor Safety Incident Rate: [Number of injuries and illnesses] ÷ [Employee hours worked] * [200,000]
Description	 The goal is to contain the incidence rate at ≤ 3.2. Benchmark: The average incidence rate per the 2012 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor for heavy and civil engineering construction is 3.2. Design-Build Contractor (DB) has eight (8) incidents of recordable injury or illness to date. Design-Build Contractor (DB) has 898,345 hours worked to date. The incidence rate represents the number of nonfatal occupational injuries and illnesses per 100 full-time workers and is calculated as: (N/EH) x 200,000, where N = number of injuries and illnesses EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year 200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time workers (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)
Cost	Design & Construction Support Cost: [Design & Construction Support Cost] ÷ [DB Invoiced to Date Amount]
Description	 The goal is to keep the support cost at ≤ 6%. Benchmark: Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) Report 138 is an industry resource for understanding soft costs and was sponsored by the FTA. Construction Administration & Management should be in the range of 5% to 6% of construction costs. The Design & Construction Support Cost encompasses the Project & Construction Management Team (PCM) invoiced to date amount = \$18,250,322 The DB Invoiced to Date Amount = \$239,744,836

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 8 of 10



Finance and Audit Committee Performance Metrics Explanatory Details

Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1

Cost	Contingency: [Remaining Contingency Value] ÷ [Remaining Contract Value]
Description	 The goal is contain the contingency in the range of 10-20%. Benchmark: As per guidelines by Federal Transit Authority cost for contingency should be in the range of 10% to 20% of construction cost during the 15% - 30% Preliminary Design Report. (Note: The contingency percentage will be adjusted per FTA guidelines as design and construction move forward.) The Remaining Contingency = [Current Allocated Contingency Amount] – [Executed Change Orders Affecting Contingency] = \$137,915,879 The Remaining Contract Value = [Revised DB Contract Amount] – [Authority Approved Invoices to Date] = \$959,217,282
Schedule	Schedule Performance Index (SPI): Earned Value (EV) ÷ Planned Value (PV)
Description	 The goal is to achieve SPI ≥ 1, which is same as ≥ 100% when expressed in percent. Benchmark: As per guidelines by PMI (Project Management Institute, World Wide) the SPI should be ≥ 1 or 100%. At a value of 100% the Project is forecasted to complete on-time. EV = Percent Complete x BAC (Budget at Completion) PV= Planned Value Planned Value in dollars to be spent to data date is derived from the approved baseline schedule, which stands at \$710,174,959 through the most recent billing period. Note: The approved baseline schedule is being revised to reflect changes in planned right-of-way and 3rd party agreement dates since bid time.
Quality	Percent of Non-Conformance Reports (NCR) Resolved: [Resolved Non-Conformance Reports] ÷ [Total Number of Non-Conformance Reports]
Description	 The goal is to maintain a NCR resolution rate of ≥ 85%. This metric is a measure of the quantity of non-conforming work issues identified on the project, based on the KPI Standard organization's Heavy and Civil Engineering Construction definition. The target rate identified is preliminary and is derived from the professional judgment of multiple quality managers and construction professionals. This metric will be measured and trended for refinement throughout the life of the CP1 project and across multiple High Speed Rail construction packages to develop a performance standard for the High Speed Rail. To Date: 27 Contractor Issued NCRs, 26 resolved 5 Owner Issued NCRs, 3 resolved 2 ISE Issued NCRs, 0 resolved

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 9 of 10



Finance and Audit Committee Performance Metrics Explanatory Details

Board Meeting: June 2016 Data Date: 4/30/2016 Contract: HSR 13-06

Construction Package 1

Economic	Disadvantaged/Small/Disabled Veteran/Micro Business Enterprises: [Total Value of
Benefits	DBE/SBE/DVBE/MB Contracts Signed to Date with the DB] ÷ [DB Contract Value]
Description	The current goal is achieve ≥30%
	Benchmark: As the project design is refined, the DB executes DBE/SBE/DVBE/MB subsent sets for specific portions of work. To date, the DB has not provided a schedule of
	subcontracts for specific portions of work. To date, the DB has not provided a schedule of when all of the DBE/SBE/DVBE/MB subcontracts will be signed. The Project and Construction
	Management Team set goals of 10% by 1/14, 20% by 7/2014 and 30% by 12/2016.
	DB has executed subcontracts with DBE/SBE/DVBE/MB firms totaling 25.4% of the current
	DB Contract Amount.
Economic	All National Targeted Workers: [National Targeted Worker Craft Hours to Date ¹] ÷ [Total Craft
Benefits	Hours to Date ¹]
Description	 The goal is ≥ 30% as identified in the contract.
	Benchmark: The Community Benefits Agreement requires a minimum of 30% of all hours of
	Project Work shall be performed by National Targeted Workers. The data is officially reported
	quarterly and estimated monthly by the DB.
	DB has 91,346 National Targeted Worker craft hours¹ to date.
	DB has 123,831 craft hours¹ to date.
_	BY I CONTROL OF THE C
Economic Benefits	Disadvantaged Workers : [Disadvantaged Worker Craft Hours to Date ¹] ÷ [National Targeted Worker Hours to Date ¹]
Description	The goal is ≥ 10% as identified in the contract. Parachused The Company it a Parachte Associated a minimum of 10% of all National. The goal is ≥ 10% as identified in the contract.
	 Benchmark: The Community Benefits Agreement requires a minimum of 10% of all National Targeted Worker hours shall be performed by Disadvantaged Workers. The data is officially
	reported quarterly and estimated monthly by the DB.
	DB has 35,950 Disadvantaged Worker craft hours¹ to date.
	DB has 91,346 National Targeted Worker hours¹ to date.
	22 has a figure rangelou fromer hours to date.
	1

¹ Estimated value

Data Date: 4/30/2016 Page 10 of 10